Instructions to Reviewers: In conducting your technical review, please consider the guidelines shown on page two of this form. You may complete this form & put your comments in the database as follows:
Type of article: / / Review Paper / / Original Paper / / Case Report / / Critical Review / / Book Review / / Other
Author(s):
Title:

Reviewer's name and address: Tel: Fax: E-mail:	Please indicate here if you agree to allow your name to be released to the list of reviewer board:

Recommendations
Acceptability for publication (Mark X): ( ) Accept, as is or with minor editorial changes or clarifications ( ) Provisionally Accept ( ) Minor technical issues need attention ( ) Minor problems exist in presentation (organization, length, logic, conclusions, etc) ( ) Provisionally not accept ( ) Significant technical issues need resolution ( ) Major problems exist in presentation (organization, length, logic, conclusions, etc) ( ) Not accept ( ) Subject matter is not suitable for IJHRMLP ( ) Technical content of article is not sound ( ) Technical content of article lacks sufficient substance
Specific comments (please use additional sheet as appropriate):

Signature	**Date:**

Please comment on the following:
1. Abstract (purpose, methods, results, conclusion):
2. Introduction
3. Methods
4. Results
5. Discussion
6. Conclusion
7. References (style, age)
8. Tables
9. Figures

The technical reviewers of articles to IJHRMLP should comment as follows:
- Is the rationale for this work well stated?
- Are the objectives clearly stated?
- Were sound methods used?
- Are assumptions described and their reasonableness supported or rejected?
- Are limitations and uncertainties in the data and analyses given?
- Were alternative hypotheses and interpretations adequately considered?
- Are the results presented in an objective, unbiased fashion?
- Were the objectives of the study met?
- Are the conclusions supported by the data?
- Is the organization of the article logic?
- Is the writing clear, concise and precise?